

REMARKS

In the communication dated June 16, 2005, applicant was required to elect a single disclosed species. Three species were identified. Species I was said to be the embodiment of Figures 1-4; Species II was identified as Figures 6 and 7; and Species III was identified as Figures 8-11. It was stated that no claims are generic to the plurality of species disclosed.

Applicant herewith elects Species III, Figures 8-11, for examination herein.

The claims which pertain to the embodiment of Species III include claims 7-15. Other claims which pertain to the species of Figures 8-11 include claims 16-27, 28, 29, 35-39 and 42-46.

However, it is respectfully submitted that at least some of the claims are generic to all three of the species concerned. More particularly, independent claim 7 and its dependent claims 8-15 are generic to all three species.


Applicant also notes that several dependent claims such as claims 40 and 41, which pertain to the embodiment of Species I should be considered allowable if their parent claim, claim 29, is held to be allowable.

Prompt and favorable examination of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,
MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

3 July 2005
Date


Jay F. Moldovanyi, Reg. No. 29,678
1100 Superior Avenue, Seventh Floor
Cleveland, Ohio 44114 Phone: (216) 861-5582